

# A review of the maximal oxygen uptake values necessary for different running performance levels

By Alejandro Legaz Arrese, Diego Munguía Izquierdo, Diego Moliner Urdiales

*It has been postulated that  $VO_{2max}$  is not a good predictor of running performance in homogeneous groups of runners and a plateauing of the  $VO_{2max}$  values has been documented in elite athletes. Nevertheless, elite endurance runners exhibit high  $VO_{2max}$  values, suggesting this helps them gain membership in the top performance cluster. Knowing the  $VO_{2max}$  values of groups of athletes is considered important for determining the maximum limit of performance of an athlete and an important aspect in the process of talent identification. One hundred and ninety top-class runners (137 males and 53 females) volunteered to participate in the study in which  $VO_{2max}$  was calculated by means of a progressive test on terdmills. Runners were classified into groups in accordance with their best performance capability. Up to 1500m, an increment in  $VO_{2max}$  was observed and related to duration of the event. The  $VO_{2max}$  for elite athletes in the 3000m, 5000m, 10,000m and marathon groups did not differ significantly. Bibliographic analysis revealed small differences in  $VO_{2max}$  among groups with imilar performance levels and significant differences among groups that differ in performance.*

## ABSTRACT

*Alejandro Legaz Arrese is a Professor of Theory and Practice of Sports Training in the Department of Physical Education and Sports at the University of Zaragoza, Spain. He holds a Ph.D in Physical Activity and Sports Science and a Masters Degree in High Performance Sports.*

*Diego Munguía Izquierdo is a researcher in the Department of Physical Education and Sports at the University of Zaragoza, Spain. He holds a Ph.D in Physical Activity and Sports Science.*

*Diego Moliner Urdiales is a Professor of Athletics in the Department of Physical Education and Sports at the University of Zaragoza, Spain. He holds a Ph.D in Physical Activity and Sports Science.*

## AUTHORS

## Introduction

Over the past two decades, coaches and sports scientists have shown keen interest in determining those physiological characteristics that allow distance runners to perform exhaustive exercise. Specifically, the coach and scientist have been concerned with optimising performance and the prediction of competitive success<sup>1-3</sup>. Maximal oxygen uptake

has been the physiological variable to which most attention has been paid<sup>4,7</sup>. Consequently, we have a great deal of information about averaged values in the different sport disciplines. However, we do not have the certainty of any study determining the values and differences of  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  among homogeneous groups of athletes competing over different distances and we could not find a review placing the levels necessary for different performance levels at each distance.

The purpose of the present study was to determine the  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  differences in runners of both genders and to find the  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  values for groups of athletes competing in different distances in order to be able to examine the differences in this variable among athletes of different competitive performance levels.

## Material and methods

### Subject selection

A group of 137 male and 53 female runners training to compete in top-level running events was selected on the basis of their performances. The mean velocity achieved during their season's best performance had to be among among the best fifty ever in the Spanish rankings (2002). The performances were obtained after consulting the official rankings published by the Statistics Department of the Real Federación Española de Atletismo. All runners had been training for more than ten years and achieved national or international levels of competition (39 male and 17 female-trained runners had taken part in the Olympic Games).

The runners were also dichotomised into groups in accordance with their best performance capabilities<sup>8-10</sup>: Sprint trained (100m and 400m); middle-distance trained (800m, 1500m, 3000m and 3000m steeplechase) and long-distance trained (5000m, 10,000m and marathon) (Table 1). In each

event the athletes were divided into "Class A", which comprised the half of the group with the best performances, and "Class B", which included the remaining athletes.

The criteria applied to determine the best performances of those athletes involved in several events was established by means of the corresponding performance equivalence according to the scoring tables published by the International Association of Athletics Federations (IAAF)<sup>11</sup>. The IAAF, using a database of performances obtained at world level, assigns a point score to each performance, enabling a comparison of different performances in different events.

### Ergometric measurement

$\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  values were determined at the National Centre of Sports Medicine in Spain. They were measured during a maximal aerobic power test on a treadmill (Jaeger Laufergotest, model L6), within the two months of the athlete's best performance. During this period, the athletes maintained their normal training programme. In the test the runners underwent a stepped protocol. The initial velocity and inclination were  $8 \text{ km/h}^{-1}$  and 1%, respectively. The velocity was increased by  $2 \text{ km/h}^{-1}$  after every 3 minute stage. From the 7th (for males) and 6th stages (for females) the velocity was increased by  $1 \text{ km/h}^{-1}$  every minute with a simultaneous increase in the slope of 2% per minute up to a maximum of 5% until the subject reached voluntary exhaustion.

The  $\text{VO}_2$  values were measured using a Jaeger EOS-Sprint spirometer in conjunction with a Hellige Servomed oscilloscope. The VE was measured using a pneumotachograph that was specially designed to keep linearity at high volumes. The  $\text{CO}_2$  exhaled was measured using an infrared ray analyser and the  $\text{O}_2$  by means of a paramagnetic system (both from Jaeger).  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  was chosen as the highest  $\text{VO}_2$  value in the series of 30sec-by-30sec  $\text{VO}_2$  values.

## Bibliographic review

Specific bibliographical analysis was carried out selecting only those studies showing the  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  values in athletes whose performance level was clearly pointed out. For all the studies we estimated the coefficient of variance of performance (CV). In the studies in which the authors did not show enough data in order to carry out this estimation, the range of performance was indicated. If there was a study in which the authors showed the individual performance of a runner in more than one event, the athletes were included in an event according to their highest IAAF Score.

## Statistical Analysis

Data were expressed as mean $\pm$ SD. The coefficient of variance of performance ( $\text{CV}\% = 100 \times \text{SD} / \text{mean}$ ) was calculated. A

multivariate linear model was made, with adjustment according to Bonferroni probabilities, using  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  as the dependent variable versus the type of event as the independent variable. A value  $p < 0.05$  was considered indicative of statistical significance. The statistical analysis was performed with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (Version 12.0).

## Results

Table I shows the descriptive statistic for each distance in relation to gender.

Significant differences of  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  among athletes from the 100m and 400m, were only observed in the female sample ( $p < 0.01$ ). However, a more detailed analysis in male athletes showed that the better

Table 1: Descriptive statistics in highly trained male and female runners

Event	Performance	$\text{VO}_2\text{max}$ ( $\text{ml}/\text{kg}^{-1}/\text{min}^{-1}$ )	Age (years)	N	Performance	$\text{VO}_2\text{max}$ ( $\text{ml}/\text{kg}^{-1}/\text{min}^{-1}$ )	Age (years)	N
100m	10.70	61.9 $\pm$ 6.5	21.4	1	12.18	48.2 $\pm$ 5.6	24.9	5
	CV = 2.2%			8				
400m	47.77	62.5 $\pm$ 6.2	23.9	2	55.23	56.6 $\pm$ 4.4	22.3	9
	CV = 2.1%			2				
800m	1:50.07	68.5 $\pm$ 5.0	21.7	2	2:07.13	63.4 $\pm$ 6.6	22.8	7
	CV = 2.8%			4				
1500m	3:42.08	73.9 $\pm$ 5.7	24.2	1	4:19.65	61.7 $\pm$ 5.8	24.8	9
	CV = 3.0%			8				
3000m	7:45.53	77.6 $\pm$ 4.4	26.9	3	9:11.61	69.2 $\pm$ 5.3	21.7	6
	CV = 0.5%							
3000m steeplechase	8:38.90	79.9 $\pm$ 5.5	21.8	9				
5000m	13:45.49	78.9 $\pm$ 8.5	25.1	7	15:13.88	69.8 $\pm$ 11.5	26.6	2
	CV = 4.3%							
10000m	28:58.75	77.1 $\pm$ 5.6	26.1	1	33:54.77	71.1 $\pm$ 8.3	24.6	5
	CV = 3.3%			7				
Marathon	2:13:21	80.1 $\pm$ 4.0	30.4	1	2:35:50	73.7 $\pm$ 6.7	30.8	10
	CV = 2.2%			9				

CV = coefficient of variation in performance

Table 2: VO<sub>2</sub>max in male 100m and 400m runners

N	Performance	VO <sub>2</sub> max (ml/kg <sup>-1</sup> /min <sup>-1</sup> )	References	
<b>100m</b> 9	10.52 CV = 1.6%	57.3 ± 4	The current study "Class A" runners	
	18	61.9 ± 6.5		The current study
	7	11.00 CV = 1.0%	52 ± 2	12
	7	11.00 CV = 5.5%	59.1 ± 2.3	13
<b>400m</b> 9	45.6 CV = 1.3%	60.6 ± 3.2	14	
	9	45.6 CV = 1.3%	60.6 ± 3.2	14
	11	46.92 CV = 1.1%	64.8 ± 4	15
	11	46.97 CV = 0.8%	61.7 ± 4.6	The current study "Class A" runners
	2	46.98 45.63-48.33	63.7	
	22	47.77 CV = 2.1%	62.5 ± 6.2	The current study
	3	47.9 CV = 2.3%	59.7 ± 4.0	
	20	48.0 CV = 2.9%	59.5 ± 3.5	14
	18	Range 44.7- 52.3	61.4 ± 6.3	18
	4	Range 50-53	53 ± 3	19

CV = coefficient of variation in performance

runners in the 100m (Class A, n = 9) had a lower VO<sub>2</sub>max than the remaining athletes, 57.3 vs 66.5 ml/kg<sup>-1</sup>/min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Therefore, the better 100m runners showed lower VO<sub>2</sub>max compared to the 400m runners (p<0.001).

The male 100m and 400m groups presented a lower VO<sub>2</sub>max compared to mid-

dle-distance and long-distance runners (p<0.001). Similar results were found for the female samples. However, the significance levels were smaller due to the size of the samples.

In male 800m runners, the VO<sub>2</sub>max was lower compared to 1500m, 3000m, 3000m steeplechase (p<0.01) and long-distance

Table 3: VO<sub>2</sub>max in male 800m and 1500m runners

N	Performance	VO <sub>2</sub> max (ml/kg <sup>1</sup> /min <sup>1</sup> )	References
<b>800m</b>			
12	1:47.54 CV = 1.5%	68.1±5.4	The current study "Class A" runners
5	1:48.99 CV = 2.9%	67.2±3.5	<sup>20</sup>
14	1:49.1 CV = 1.7%	67.1±3.4	<sup>14</sup>
6	Range 1:47.64-1:50.42	68.7	<sup>16</sup>
24	1:50.07 CV = 2.8%	68.5±4.9	The current study
5	1:53-2:00	65±2	<sup>19</sup>
7	1:59.4 CV = 0.4%	60±3	<sup>12</sup>
11	2:05 CV = 3.8%	65.8±1.4	<sup>13</sup>
11	2:12.6 CV = 5.5%	61.6±5.1	3
<b>1500m</b>			
9	3:36.56 CV = 1.0%	75.1±6.1	The current study "Class A" runners
5	Range 3:38.51 – 3:46.43	71.9	<sup>16</sup>
18	3:42.08 CV = 3.0%	73.9±5.7	The current study
8	3:44.55 CV = 1.6%	71.5±4.8	<sup>21</sup>
12	3:46.73 CV = 2.2%	72±4.3	<sup>20</sup>
9	3:49.3 CV = 4.4%	71.2±4.7	<sup>22</sup>
18	3:50.08 CV = 4.3%	72.2±4.3	<sup>23</sup>
56	4:51 CV = 10.3%	62.5	<sup>24</sup>

CV = coefficient of variation in performance

Table 4: VO<sub>2</sub>max in male 3000m and 3000m steeplechase runners

N	Performance	VO <sub>2</sub> max (ml/kg <sup>1</sup> /min <sup>1</sup> )	References
<b>3000m</b>			
3	7:45.53 CV = 0.5%	77.6 ± 4.4	The current study
9	7:57 CV = 2.9%	71.0 ± 5.3	<sup>25</sup>
5	8:10.84 CV = 2.3%	72.9 ± 4.7	<sup>20</sup>
<b>3000m steeplechase</b>			
4	8:29.15 CV = 1.3%	79.3 ± 3.4	The current study "Class A" runners
5	8:38 CV = 1.2%	72.4 ± 1.2	<sup>6</sup>
9	8:38.90 CV = 2.2%	79.9 ± 5.5	The current study

CV = coefficient of variation in performance

runners ( $p < 0.001$ ). The VO<sub>2</sub>max of the male 1500m runners was lower compared to 3000m runners ( $p < 0.05$ ) and marathon runners ( $p < 0.001$ ). The female 800m and 1500m runners had lower VO<sub>2</sub>max values compared to marathon runners ( $p < 0.001$ ). In both genders, the marathon runners presented the higher VO<sub>2</sub>max values, however the 3000m, 3000m steeplechase, 5000m, 10,000m and marathon groups did not differ significantly.

The comparison of our results with those obtained in other studies is evidenced in Tables 2 to 8. The different studies are organised downwards according to the worst athlete's performance.

## Discussion

The involvement of the aerobic metabolism in energy production progressively increases from the 100m to 1500m and 3000m events. Therefore, it will be necessary for the VO<sub>2</sub>max to increase at the same rate to achieve an equivalent performance. This supposition has been confirmed in the pres-

ent study. Hence, if a athlete wants to cover a distance greater to the one appropriate for her/his physiological condition with an equivalent performance (being her/his VO<sub>2</sub>max inferior) or keeping the same speed as a top-level athlete in that distance, he/she would have to produce energy anaerobically from the first seconds of the event. This would cause an excessively quick accumulation of lactate in the muscle and blood and consequently the need to reduce speed drastically before finishing the competition.

The involvement of the aerobic metabolism in energy production in the 3000m, 5000m, 10,000m and marathon does not differ significantly. Therefore, no significant differences in VO<sub>2</sub>max should be expected among these athletes and, indeed, in this study, the VO<sub>2</sub>max did not differ significantly between these groups.

Many researchers have attempted to explain how the variable VO<sub>2</sub>max can account for a vast proportion of the variance in distance running performances. A significant relationship between VO<sub>2</sub>max

Table 5: VO<sub>2</sub>max in male 5000m and 10,000m runners

N	Performance	VO <sub>2</sub> max (ml/kg <sup>1</sup> /min <sup>1</sup> )	References
<b>5000m</b>			
3	13:18.22 CV = 0.5%	82.1 ± 4.7	The current study "Class A" runners
7	13:45.49 CV = 4.3%	78.9 ± 8.5	The current study
5	13:46.45 CV = 3.8%	72.1 ± 4.6	20
6	13:58.8 13:57.1 – 14:00.4	75.3	16
8	14:04.70 CV = 3.0%	74.4 ± 4.0	14
8	14:05 CV = 0.6%	74.4 ± 1.3	6
7	14:48 CV = 1.4%	73.7 ± 1.5	13
8	14:49.00 CV = 1.7%	73.1 ± 2.5	26
12	16:07 CV = 4.0%	64.1 ± 3.9	27
14	16:48 CV = 4.8%	60.4 ± 1.4	28
<b>10000m</b>			
9	28:17.81 CV = 1.8%	76.5 ± 5.9	The current study "Class A" runners
11	28:33 CV = 2.0%	71.5 ± 4.6	25
22	28:53.4 CV = 3.6%	75.8 ± 3.4	29
5	Range 28:36.6 – 29:21.0	78.6	16
17	28:58.75 CV = 3.3%	77.1 ± 5.6	The current study
10	31:43 CV = 5.6%	65.3 ± 4.9	30
12	32:06.00 CV = 3.1%	71.7 ± 2.8	31
10	32:17.4 CV = 3.9%	64.8 ± 2.1	32
20	32:27 CV = 3.1%	67.5 ± 3.9	33
9	33:47.13 CV = 1.5%	68.6 ± 0.7	34
21	34:06.6 CV = 5.9%	67.3 ± 5.2	35

CV = coefficient of variation in performance

Table 6: VO<sub>2</sub>max in male marathon runners

N	Performance	VO <sub>2</sub> max (ml/kg <sup>1</sup> /min <sup>1</sup> )	References
10	2:10:56 CV = 1.3%	81.2±3.9	The current study "Class A" runners
19	2:13:21 CV = 2.2%	80.1±4	The current study
8	2:15	74.1	<sup>36</sup>
5	Range 2:12:07 – 2:21:04	73.86	<sup>16</sup>
5	2:17:05 CV = 1.7%	76.7±0.7	<sup>37</sup>
12	2:21 < 2: 30	71.8±1.2	<sup>38</sup>
4	2:23:42 CV = 4.0%	72.4±2.3	<sup>14</sup>
6	2:27:23 CV = 5.8%	71.4±4.2	<sup>39</sup>
13	2:29:59 CV = 6.5%	72.5±3.8	<sup>5</sup>
9	2:30:42 CV = 5.4%	60.4±6.5	<sup>40</sup>
10	2:32:31 CV = 5.4%	63.2±2.9	<sup>40</sup>
12	2:36:37 CV = 6.2%	73.1±5.2	<sup>35</sup>
16	2:37 2:30 – 3:00	65.6±1.2	<sup>38</sup>
20	2:39:42 CV = 15.5%	68.1±7.7	<sup>7</sup>
6	2:39:43 CV = 1.2%	70.7±0.7	<sup>41</sup>
7	2:40:24 CV = 2.9%	70.0±1.8	<sup>13</sup>
10	2:43:48 CV = 6.7%	68±5.4	<sup>42</sup>
13	2:46:07 CV = 14.2%	61.7±7.5	<sup>1</sup>
23	3:04:36 CV = 12.5%	61.9±5.6	<sup>43</sup>
6	3:19:24 CV = 1.2%	60	<sup>44</sup>
7	3:24 > 3: 00	58.7±1.9	<sup>38</sup>
46	3:26:00 CV = 12.9%	58.3±7.3	<sup>45</sup>
25	3:26:54 CV = 17.5%	64.7±9.0	<sup>45</sup>

CV = coefficient of variation in performance



Table 7: VO<sub>2</sub>max in female middle-distance runners

N	Performance	VO <sub>2</sub> max (ml/kg <sup>-1</sup> /min <sup>-1</sup> )	References
<b>1500m</b>			
4	4:09.89 CV = 3.0%	62.2±4.1	The current study "Class A" runners
9	4:19.65 CV = 4.2%	61.7±5.8	The current study
6	4:20.72 CV = 5.3%	63.9±4	<sup>23</sup>
8	4:29.4 CV = 4.3%	62.5±3.7	<sup>22</sup>
<b>3000m</b>			
3	9:01.59 CV = 0.6%	66.9±1.2	The current study "Class A" runners
6	9:11.61 CV = 2.0%	69.2±5.3	The current study
10	9:17.93 CV = 3.7%	63.5±5	<sup>46</sup>
16	10:14.96 CV = 4.7%	56.4±4.4	<sup>47</sup>

CV = coefficient of variation in performance

and running performance has been found in heterogeneous groups of runners. However, different studies indicate that VO<sub>2</sub>max is not a good predictor of performance in more homogeneous groups of runners (e.g. 50) and it has been reported that VO<sub>2</sub>max does not relate to endurance performance within groups that are homogeneous in terms of VO<sub>2</sub>max.

Nevertheless, the plateauing of maximal oxygen uptake in elite endurance athletes has been documented for many years in case studies only<sup>51-54</sup>.

It cannot be argued from these data that VO<sub>2</sub>max is unimportant. In this study, all endurance elite runners exhibited high values. The current data suggest that a high VO<sub>2</sub>max helped each subject gain membership to this elite performance cluster. As the VO<sub>2</sub>max values are predetermined

genetically, it is unrealistic to hope for great increases due to training effects. Knowing the VO<sub>2</sub>max values of groups of athletes on different levels of performance is considered important for determining the maximum performance limit of an athlete in relation to his/her VO<sub>2</sub>max as well as an important point in the process of detection of sports accomplishments.

In the 100m, the lack of sufficient studies and the data obtained in our study do not allow us to reach definitive conclusions. Nevertheless we can deduce that the best athletes of this event achieve a VO<sub>2</sub>max average < 60 ml/kg<sup>-1</sup>/min<sup>-1</sup>, being in accordance with data obtained from a study of athletes who achieved a performance better than 10.55 and a VO<sub>2</sub>max of 57±6 ml/kg<sup>-1</sup>/min<sup>-1</sup>. The 400m athletes with performances between 45.6 and 47.8 do not vary substantially with VO<sub>2</sub>max

Table 8:  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  in female long-distance runners

N	Performance	$\text{VO}_2\text{max}$ (ml/kg <sup>-1</sup> /min <sup>-1</sup> )	References
<b>5000m</b>			
2	15:13.88 CV = 4.5%	69.8 ± 11.5	The current study
9	19:54 CV = 7.0%	52.6 ± 0.9	<sup>28</sup>
<b>10000m</b>			
3	33:09.60 CV = 0.6%	74.6 ± 5.7	The current study "Class A" runners
5	33:54.77 CV = 3.1%	71.1 ± 8.3	The current study
30	38:26.7 CV = 6.8%	53.5 ± 3.6	<sup>48</sup>
13	40:42.79 CV = 9.1%	59.7 ± 5.3	<sup>2</sup>
<b>Marathon</b>			
5	2:29:42 CV = 1.87%	75.8 ± 6.4	The current study "Class A" runners
10	2:35:50 CV = 4.6%	73.7 ± 6.7	The current study
6	2:41:51 CV = 1.2%	66.1 ± 1.4	<sup>41</sup>
9	3:07:57 CV = 6.3%	58.2 ± 4.8	<sup>5</sup>
6	3:21:48 CV = 0.9%	60	<sup>44</sup>
13	3:47	51.8 ± 3.2	<sup>49</sup>
10	4:42	45.8 ± 5	<sup>49</sup>

CV = coefficient of variation in performance

values being between 61-64 ml/kg<sup>-1</sup>/min<sup>-1</sup>. For those with a best performance over 48.0, the  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  value is slightly inferior to 60 ml/kg<sup>-1</sup>/min<sup>-1</sup>.

In the 800m, athletes with a best performance between 1:47.5 and 1:50.0 had a  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  average of 68 ml/kg<sup>-1</sup>/min<sup>-1</sup>. For athletes with a best performance close to or more than 2:00.0 the values are considerably lower.

The 1500m athletes with performances between 3:36.0 and 3:50.0 have  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  values that average between 72 and 75 ml/kg<sup>-1</sup>/min<sup>-1</sup>. In athletes with inferior performances, the values decrease considerably.

For the 3000m and 3000m steeplechase, due to the low number of studies, conclusions cannot be reached.

In the 5000m, athletes with a performance inferior to 13:30.0 achieve an average  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  value close to  $80 \text{ ml/kg}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$ . For performances between 14:00 and 15:00, the values were between  $73$  and  $75 \text{ ml/kg}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$ , diminishing considerably for performances above 16:00.

In the 10,000m, athletes with a best performance between 28:17 and 29:00 had  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  values between  $76$  and  $78 \text{ ml/kg}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$ . For a clearly inferior performance (31:30), the average  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  is inferior to  $70 \text{ ml/kg}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$ .

The performance level of our marathon runners was superior to those observed in the rest of the studies and the  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  found was clearly higher. In marathon runners with a performance between 2:16:00 and 2:30:00, the  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  is between  $72$  and  $76 \text{ ml/kg}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$ . For performances of more than 2:30:00, the average  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  value is inferior to  $70 \text{ ml/kg}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$ .

In general, we can assume that among groups of athletes with relatively small performance differences, there will not be significant differences in  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  ( $\text{ml/kg}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$ ), but there will be when groups with significant performance differences are compared.

Studies described the  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  ( $\text{ml/kg}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$ ) of different top-level athletes and the performance in competition<sup>55,56</sup>. These authors studied seven female middle-distance runners (four were top-level 1500m runners - avg time 4:07.9 (CV = 1.11%), one a 3000m runner - 10:03, one a 3000m and 5000m runner - 8:48, 15:22 and one a 5000m runner - 15:25). They also evaluated nine top-level long-distance runners (five 10,000m runners - avg time 32:47.2 (CV = 1.26%) and four marathon runners - avg time 2:31:17 (CV = 1.7%) and 14 "good" runners (11 of them ran their first 10,000m in an average of 38:37 with a range of 36:10 to 41:52) and 3 marathon runners whose performances were not reported. The  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  for middle distance runners was  $68$

$\pm 3.7 \text{ ml/kg}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$ , for long distance runners  $66.4 \pm 4.5 \text{ ml/kg}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$  and for "good" runners  $58.6 \pm 5.2 \text{ ml/kg}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$ . The results supplied by these authors corroborate that there are big  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  differences among athletes who differ significantly in their performance while, in turn, the differences among remarkable 1500m, 3000m and 5000m runners are not important when compared with long-distance athletes. The performance of the runners studied by these authors is generally slightly better than those in our study. However, their average  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  value is slightly lower than that of our athletes, corroborating that among athletes with a narrow scope in performance, the  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  is not a good performance predictor.

Similar to male athletes, in the few events with a sufficient number of studies, we found  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  differences only when there were significant performance differences.

## Conclusions

This study has assessed the  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  in high-level runners and made an exhaustive bibliographic analysis with regard to the maximum limits of performance associated with  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  values. The results highlight the importance of evaluation of  $\text{VO}_2\text{max}$  to determine the maximum level of performance an athlete can be expected to achieve.

## Acknowledgement

The authors express their gratitude to Jose María Odriozola, the President of the Real Federación Española de Atletismo.

*Please send all correspondence to:  
Email: alegaz@posta.unizar.es*

## REFERENCES

1. FARREL, A.; WILMORE, H.; COYLE, F.; BILLINGS, E.; COSTILL, L.: Plasma lactate accumulation and distance running performance. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1979;11:338-344.
2. FAY, L.; LONDEREE, R.; LAFONTAINE, P.; VOLEK, R.: Physiological parameters related to distance running performance in female athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1989;21(3):319-324.
3. DEASON, J.; POWERS, K.; LAWLER, J.; AYERS, D.; STUART, K.: Physiological correlates to 800 meter running performance. *J Sports Med Phys Fit* 1991;31(4):499-504.
4. SALTIN, B.; ÅSTRAND, P.: Maximal oxygen uptake in athletes. *J Appl Physiol* 1967;23(3):353-358.
5. DAVIES, M.; THOMPSON, W.: Aerobic performance of female marathon and male ultramarathon athletes. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 1979;41:233-245.
6. KENNEY, L.; HODGSON, L.: Variables predictive of performance in elite middle-distance runners. *Brit J Sport Med* 1985;19(4):207-209.
7. NOAKES, T.; MYBURGH, K.; SCHALL, R.: Peak treadmill running velocity during the VO<sub>2</sub>max test predicts running performance. *J Sports Sci* 1990;8:35-45.
8. ZINTL, F.: Entrenamiento de la resistencia. Barcelona: Martinez Roca;1991
9. SNELL, P.: Middle distance running. In: *Physiology of sports*. Edited by Reilly T, Secher N, Snell P, Williams C. De E&FN Spon, London; 1993:101-120.
10. BRANDON, J.; BOILEAU A.: Influence of metabolic, mechanical and physique variables on middle distance running. *J Sports Med Phys Fit* 1992;32(1):1-9.
11. SPIRIEV, B.: IAAF scoring tables of athletics. IAAF; 1998.
12. GRANIER, P.; MERCIER, B. MERCIER, J.; ANSELME, F.; PREFAUT, C.: Aerobic and anaerobic contribution to Wingate test performance in sprint and middle-distance runners. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 1995;70(1):58-65.
13. HELLSTEN WESTING, Y.; SOLLEVI, A.; SJÖDIN, B.: Plasma accumulation of hypoxanthine, uric acid and creatine kinase following exhausting runs of differing durations in man. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 1991;62:380-384.
14. SCHNABEL, A.; KINDERMAN, W.: Assessment of anaerobic capacity in runners. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 1983;52:42-46.
15. HEUGAS, M.; BRISSWALTER, J.; VALLIER, M.: Effet d'une période d'entraînement de trois mois sur le déficit maximal en oxygène chez des sprinters de haut niveau de performance. *Can J Appl Physiol* 1997;22(2):171-181.
16. SVEDENHAG, J. SJÖDIN, B.: Maximal and sub-maximal oxygen uptakes and blood lactate levels in elite male middle- and long-distance runners. *Int J Sports Med* 1984;5(5):255-261.
17. SCOTT, B.; ROBY, B.; LOHMAN, G.; BUNT, C.: The maximally accumulated oxygen deficit as an indicator of anaerobic capacity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1991;23:618-624.
18. NUMMELA, A. MERO, A.; STRAY-GUNDERSEN, J.; RUSKO, H.: Important determinants of anaerobic running performance in male athletes and non-athletes. *Int J Sports Med* 1996;17(2):S91-S96.
19. SPENCER, R.; GASTIN, B.; PAYNE, R.: Energy system contribution during 400 to 1500 metres running. *New Studies in Athletics* 1996;11(4):59-65.
20. LACOUR, R.; PADILLA, S.; BARTHELEMY, C.; DORMOIS, D.: The energetics of middle-distance running. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 1990;60:38-43.

21. PADILLA, S.; DORMOIS, D.; DENIS, C.; LACOUR, R.: Capacidad aerobia y anaerobia en corredores de medio fondo. Relaciones con la marca de 1500 m en pista. Arch Med Deporte 1991;8(30):141-146.
22. EMERICK, P.; TEED, K.; FERNHALL, B.: Aerobic and anaerobic power explain performance differences between elite male and female middle distance runners. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1995;27:S9.
23. LACOUR, R.; PADILLA, S.; CHATARD, C.; BARTHELEMY, C.: Assessment of running velocity at maximal oxygen uptake. Eur J Appl Physiol 1991;62:77-82.
24. BRANDON, J.: Physiological factors associated with middle distance running performance. Sports Med 1995;19(4):268-277.
25. COETZER, P. NOAKES, D.; SANDERS, B.; LAMBERT, I.; BOSCH, N.; WIGGINS, T. et al.: Superior fatigue resistance of elite black south african distance runners. J Appl Physiol 1993;75(4):1822-1827.
26. OHKUWA, T.; KATO, Y.; KATSUMATA, K.; NAKAO, T.; MIYAMURA, M.: Blood lactate and glycerol after 400m and 3000m runs in sprint and long distance runners. Eur J Appl Physiol 1984;53:213-218.
27. KUMAGAI, K.; TANAKA, Y.; MATSURA, A.; MATSUZAKA, K.; HIRAKOBA, ASARO, K.: Relationships of the anaerobic threshold with the 5 km, 10 km, and 10 miles races. Eur J Appl Physiol 1982;49:13-23.
28. SCOTT, K.; HOUMARD, A.: Peak running velocity is highly related to distance running performance. Int J Sports Med 1994;15(8):504-507.
29. MORGAN, W.; DANIELS, T.: Relationship between VO<sub>2</sub>max and the aerobic demand of running in elite distance runners [published erratum appears in Int J Sports Med 15(8):527]. Int J Sports Med 1994;15(7):426-429.
30. VERDE, J.; THOMAS, G.; MOORE, W.; SHEK, P.; SHEPHARD, J.: Immune responses and increased training of the elite athlete. J Appl Physiol 1992;73(4):1494-1499.
31. CONLEY, D.; KRAHENBUHL, G.: Running economy and distance running performance of highly trained athletes. Med Sci Sport 1980;12:357-360.
32. MORGAN, W.; BALDINI, F.; MARTIN, P.; KOHRT, W.: Ten km performance and predicted velocity at VO<sub>2</sub>max among well-trained male runners. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1989;21:78-83.
33. TANAKA, K.; WATANABE, H.; KONISHI, Y.; MITSUZONO, R.; SUMIDA, S.; TANAKA, S. et al.: Longitudinal associations between anaerobic threshold and distance running performance. Eur J Appl Physiol 1986;55(3):248-252.
34. POWERS, K.; DODD, S.; DEASON, R.; BYRD, R.; MCKNIGHT, T.: Ventilatory threshold, running economy and distance running performance of trained athletes. Res Q Exercise Sport 1983;54(2):179-182.
35. TANAKA, K.; MATSUURA, T.; MATSUZAKA, A.; HIRAKOBA, K.; KUMAGAI, S.; SUN, S.O. et al.: A longitudinal assessment of anaerobic threshold and distance-running performance. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1984;16:278-282.
36. POLLOCK, L.: Submaximal and maximal working capacity of elite distance runners. Part I: cardiorespiratory aspects. In: Marathon: physiological, medical, epidemiological and psychological studies. Milvy (eds.). New York, New York Academy of Sciences; 1977;part 8: 764-776.
37. ZOLADZ, A.; SARGEANT, J.; EMMERICH, J.; STOKLOSA, J.; ZYCHOWSKI, A.: Changes in acid-base status of marathon runners during an incremental field test. Relationship to mean competitive marathon velocity. Eur J Appl Physiol 1993;67(1):71-76.

38. SJÖDIN, B.; SVEDENHAG, J.: Applied physiology of marathon running. *Sports Medicine* 1985;2:83-99.
39. COSTILL, L.; FOX, L.: Energetics of marathon running. *Med Sci Sport* 1969;1(2):81-86.
40. BOSCH, N.; GOSLIN, R.; NOAKES, D.; DENNIS, C.: Physiological differences between black and white runners during a treadmill marathon. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 1990;61:68-72.
41. HELGERUD, J.: Maximal oxygen uptake, anaerobic threshold and running economy in women and men with similar performances level in marathons. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 1994;68(2):155-161.
42. SHERMAN, M.; COSTILL, L.; FINK, J.; HAGERMAN, C.; ARMSTRONG, E.; MURRAY, F.: Effect of a 42.2Km footrace and subsequent rest or exercise on muscle glycogen and enzymes. *J Appl Physiol* 1983;55(4):1219-1224.
43. FOSTER, C.; DANIELS, T.; YARBROUGH, A.: Physiological and training correlates of marathon running performance. *Aust J Sport Med* 1977;9:58-61.
44. HELGERUD, J.; INGJER, F.; STROMME, B.: Sex differences in performance-matched marathon runners. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 1990;61(5-6):433-439.
45. HARTUNG, H.; SQUIRES, G.: Physiological measures and marathon running performance in young and middle-aged males. *J Sport Med Phys Fit* 1982;22(3):366-370.
46. PADILLA, S.; BOURDIN, M.; BARTHELEMY, C.; LACOUR, R.: Physiological correlates of middle-distance running performance. A comparative study between men and women. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 1992;65:561-566.
47. YOSHIDA, T.; UDO, M.; IWAI, K.; CHIDA, M.; ICHIOKA, M.; NAKADOMO, F. et al. Significance of the contribution of aerobic and anaerobic components to several distance running performances in female athletes. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 1990;60(4):249-253.
48. TANAKA, K.; MIMURA, K.; KIM, H.; KAWABATA, T.; TAJIMA, M.; NAKADOMO, F. et al. Prerequisites in distance running performance of female runners. *Ann Physiol Anthropol* 1989;8(2):79-87.
49. CHRISTENSEN, L.; RUGHLING, O.: Physical characteristics of novice and experienced women marathon runners. *Brit J Sport Med* 1983;17(3):166-171.
50. BASSETT, D.R.; HOWLEY, E.T.: Limiting factors for maximum oxygen uptake and determinants of endurance performance. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2000;32:70-84.
51. DANIELS, J.: Running with Jim Ryum: A five-year study. *Phys Sportsmed* 1974;2:62-67.
52. CONLEY, L.; KRAHENBUHL, S.; BURKETT, N.; MILLAR, L.: Following Steve Scott: Physiological changes accompanying training. *Phys Sportsmed*, 1984;12:103-106.
53. LEGROS, P.; BRISSWALTER, J.; JOUSSELIN, E.: Variation du coût énergétique de la course en fonction de l'entraînement: évolution sur sept années pour un coureur de longues distances. *Sci Sports* 1992;7:35-36.
54. JONES, M.: A five year physiological case study of an Olympic runner. *Brit J Sports Med* 1998;32:39-43.
55. SPARLING, P.; WILSON, E.; PATE, R.: Project overview and description of performance, training, and physical characteristics in elite women distance runners. *Int J Sports Med* 1987; 8:73-76.
56. PATE, R.; SPARLING, B.; WILSON, E.; CURETON, J.; MILLER, J.: Cardiorespiratory and metabolic responses to submaximal and maximal exercise in elite women distance runners. *Int J Sports Med* 1987;8(Supl):91-95.